

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

		TATES OF	1 Wasi	hington, D.C. 202	31	SIC
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/300,856	04/28/99	BROWN		S	RYA	-129/DIV
		QM12/	1011 7		EXAMINER	
Michael S. BLACK LOWE	Smith & GRAHAM	ASTORINO, M				
816 Second (		ruu		ART	JNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Seattle WA				373	5	1/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

10/11/00

il

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/300,856

Examiner

Michael Astorino

Group Art Unit 3736

Brown

TH	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) $X$ expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Ad is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from rejection.	visory Action, whichever om the date of the final
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and t date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the datermining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	te for the purposes of 7 CFR 1.17 will be
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.19	(or within any 92(a).
	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jul 18, 2000</u> has been considered with tout is <b>NOT</b> deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	the following effect,
X	The proposed amendment(s):	
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
	🗴 will not be entered because:	
	🛮 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note by	pelow).
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reduc issues for appeal.	ing or simplifying the
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected	ed claims.
	NOTE: <u>A new search is needed for the subsituted limitation of a "remote computer workstainterface.</u>	tion" replacing a remote
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):	
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	vable if submitted in a
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the for allowance because:	application in condition
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which the Examiner in the final rejection.	ch were newly raised by
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation	, if any):
	Claims allowed:	
	Claims objected to:	
	Claims rejected: <u>1, 2, 4-6, and 8-11</u>	
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been appro	oved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
		CONNOR PATENT EXAMINER UP 3700